

**Norfolk Boreas Offshore Wind Farm**

# **Statement of Common Ground**

**Maritime and Coastguard Agency**

Applicant: Norfolk Boreas Limited  
Document Reference: ExA.SoCG-11.D2.V1  
Deadline 2  
Date: December 2019  
Revision: Version 1  
Author: Anatec

*Photo: Ormonde Offshore Wind Farm*

Date	Issue No.	Remarks / Reason for Issue	Author	Checked	Approved
15/08/2019	01D	First draft for Maritime Coastguard Agency (MCA) Review	Anatec	Anatec	Vattenfall
18/09/2019	02D	Updated with MCA Comments	Anatec	Anatec	Vattenfall
13/10/2019	03D	Updated with MCA Comments	Anatec	Anatec	Vattenfall
06/12/2019	04D	Updated with MCA Comments. Final for submission at Deadline 2	Anatec	Anatec	Vattenfall

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1</b>	<b>Consultation with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Statement of Common Ground .....</b>	<b>5</b>

### Table of Tables

Table 1.1 Pre and Post Application Consultation	2
Table 2.1 Agreement Log – Shipping and Navigation	6

## Glossary of Acronyms

CIA	Cumulative Impact Assessment
DCO	Development Consent Order
DML	Deemed Marine Licence
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ERCoP	Emergency Response Cooperation Plan
ES	Environmental Statement
FSA	Formal Safety Assessment
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drill
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current
IHO	International Hydrographic Organization
km	Kilometre
km <sup>2</sup>	Square Kilometre
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LMP	Lighting and Marking Plan
m	Metre
MCA	Maritime and Coastguard Agency
MGN	Marine Guidance Note
MMO	Marine Management Organisation
MW	Mega Watt
NRA	Navigation Risk Assessment
OREI	Offshore Renewable Energy Installation
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Review
SAR	Search and Rescue
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
TH	Trinity House

## Glossary of Terminology

Baseline	Existing conditions within the array area or export cable route including navigation features and vessel routes.
Development Principles	A set of rules defining how the layout will be designed post consent. The rules have been agreed with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) and Trinity House (TH) to ensure safe navigation of third party surface vessels and Search and Rescue (SAR) helicopters and surface vessels.
Formal Safety Assessment (FSA)	A structured and systematic process for assessing the risks and costs (if applicable) associated with shipping activity.
Interconnector cables	Offshore cables which link offshore electrical platforms within the Norfolk Boreas site.
Marine Guidance Note (MGN)	A system of guidance notes issued by the MCA which provide significant advice relating to the improvement of the safety of shipping and of life at sea, and to prevent or minimise pollution from shipping.
Navigation Risk	A document which assesses the overall impact to shipping and navigation of a

Assessment (NRA)	proposed Offshore Renewable Energy Installation (OREI) based upon FSA.
Project interconnector search area	The area within which the project interconnector cable would be installed.
Safety Zone	A marine zone demarcated for the purposes of safety around a possibly hazardous installation or works/construction area under the Energy Act 2004 and Electricity (offshore Generating Station Stations (Safety Zones) (Applications Procedures and Control of Access Regulations 2007 (SI No 2007/1948)).

## 1 INTRODUCTION

---

1. This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) and Norfolk Boreas Limited (hereafter 'the Applicant') to set out the areas of agreement and areas of ongoing discussion in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Norfolk Boreas Offshore Wind Farm (hereafter 'the project'). A full description of the project can be found in Chapter 5 of the Environmental Statement (Document reference 6.1.5 of the Application, APP-218).
2. This SoCG comprises an agreement log which has been structured to reflect the topics of interest to the MCA with regard to the Norfolk Boreas DCO application (hereafter 'the Application'). The agreement logs (Section **Error! Reference source not found.**) outline all topic specific matters agreed, matters that are subject of ongoing discussion, wherever possible to resolve or refine the extent of disagreement, and finally matters that cannot be agreed between the MCA and the Applicant.
3. The Applicant has had regard to the guidance for the examination of applications for development consent (Department for Communities and Local Government, 2015) when compiling this SoCG.

### 1.1 Consultation with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency

4. This section briefly summarises the consultation that the Applicant has had with the MCA. For further information on the consultation process please see the Consultation Report (document reference 5.1 of the Application, APP-027).
5. The Applicant has engaged with the MCA on the project during the pre-Application process, both in terms of informal non-statutory engagement and formal consultation carried out pursuant to Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008.
6. During formal (Section 42) consultation, the MCA provided comments on the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) by way of a letter dated 11<sup>th</sup> December 2018.
7. Table 1.1 provides an overview of meetings and correspondence undertaken with the MCA to date. This is a live document that will be updated as the project progresses taking into account the MCA's Relevant Representation and any consultation. Minutes of the meetings are provided in Appendices 9.15 – 9.26 (pre-Section 42) and Appendices 25.1 – 25.9 (post-Section 42) of the Consultation Report (document reference 5.1 of the Application, APP-027).

Table 1.1 Pre and Post Application Consultation

Date	Contact Type	Topic
<b>Pre-Application</b>		
31 May 2017	Scoping Opinion	<p><b>Impact assessment</b> - The MCA noted that the ES should supply detail on the possible impacts on navigational issues for both commercial and recreational craft.</p> <p><b>Compliance with guidance</b> - A Navigation Risk Assessment (NRA) (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) will need to be submitted in accordance with Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 543 (and MGN 372) and the MCA Methodology for Assessing the Marine Navigation Safety &amp; Emergency Response Risks of Offshore Renewable Energy Installations (OREI).</p> <p><b>Hydrographic surveys</b> – MGN 543 Annex 2 requires that hydrographic surveys should fulfil the requirements of the International Hydrographic Organisation (IHO) Order 1a standard, with the final data supplied as a digital full density data set, and survey reports to the MCA Hydrography Manager.</p> <p><b>Emergency response resources</b> - MCA noted that particular consideration will need to be given to the implications of the site size and location of search and rescue (SAR) resources and the Emergency Response Cooperation Plan (ERCoP).</p>
29 May 2018	Consultation meeting	<p><b>Marine traffic surveys</b> - Agreement was reached on the marine traffic survey data that was being considered as part of the Norfolk Boreas application.</p>
11 December 2018	PEIR Response	<p><b>Traffic routeing</b> - MCA noted that the development area carries a significant amount of through traffic, and attention needs to be paid to routeing, particularly in heavy weather ensuring shipping can continue to make safe passage without significant large scale deviations.</p> <p><b>Cumulative and in combination</b> - The possible cumulative and in combination effects on shipping routes should be considered.</p> <p><b>Hydrographic surveys</b> - Hydrographic surveys should fulfil the requirements of MGN 543.</p> <p><b>Cable burial assessment</b> - A cable burial risk assessment will be required.</p> <p><b>Array layout and development principles</b> - The turbine layout design will require MCA approval prior to construction to minimise the risks to surface vessels, including rescue boats, and SAR aircraft operating within the site.</p>

Date	Contact Type	Topic
		<p><b>Safety zones</b> -Safety zones during the construction, maintenance and decommissioning phases are supported.</p> <p><b>ERCoP</b> - An ERCoP is required to meet the requirements of MGN 543.</p> <p><b>Construction methodology</b> - MCA would like to see continuous construction which is progressive across the wind farm with no opportunity for two separate areas to be constructed with a gap in the middle.</p>
24 January 2019	Consultation meeting	<p><b>Compliance with MGN 543</b> - Content that the worst case (200 turbines) has already been modelled and will not need to be redone for the 180 turbine layout.</p> <p><b>Removal of floating foundations</b> - Content that the floating foundation had been removed and that tetra base foundations were now a consideration. As under keel clearance would be a minimum of 10 metres (m), MCA and Trinity House (TH) raised no concerns over this.</p> <p><b>Interconnector search area</b> - No concerns with the increased interconnector search area of the High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) options noting that worst case has already been considered.</p> <p><b>Accommodation platform</b> - No concerns with accommodation platforms becoming accommodation and refuelling platforms.</p> <p><b>Layout design and use of Development Principles</b> - Content with the design rules being noted within the DCO as long as the condition still allowed for final sign off.</p>
4 March 2019	Order Limits Change Report	<p><b>Compliance with MGN 543</b> - On the understanding that the amended interconnector has been assessed in line with all the requirements of MGN 543 and its annexes, including the relevant traffic surveys, and that the NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) and Shipping and Navigation Chapter are updated to reflect the additional area, then the MCA does not have any concerns with regards to proposed change. Although it has not been subject to any site specific surveys, the area is covered by existing data sets including the shipping and navigation data sets.</p>
18 April 2019	Consultation Meeting	<p><b>Layout design and use of Development Principles</b> - Meeting to finalise and agree the wording of the Development Principles.</p>



Date	Contact Type	Topic
<b>Post-Application</b>		
21 August 2019	Teleconference	Call to discuss the first draft SoCG.
08 December 2019	Teleconference	Call to discuss the final draft of the SOCG for Deadline 2

## 2 STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

---

8. The project has the potential to impact upon shipping and navigation. Chapter 15 of the Norfolk Boreas Environmental Statement (ES) (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228) provides an assessment of the significance of these impacts.
9. Table 2.1 provides areas of agreement (common ground) and areas of ongoing discussion regarding shipping and navigation between the MCA and the Applicant.
10. This SoCG represents the position of the parties as they currently stand. It is intended for it to be a live document and will be updated throughout the examination process as the Applicant and the MCA work to resolve outstanding issues.

**Table 2.1 Agreement Log – Shipping and Navigation**

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
<b>Consultation</b>			
<b>Consultation</b>	<b>Consultation</b> - The MCA has been adequately consulted regarding shipping and navigation to date.	No further comment. Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the consultation has been adequate to date
<b>Environmental Impact Assessment</b>			
<b>Existing environment</b>	<b>Marine traffic surveys</b> - Marine traffic survey data collected for Norfolk Boreas for the characterisation of shipping and navigation are suitable for the assessment.	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the marine traffic survey data collection is as per MGN 543 and therefore suitable for the assessment.
	<b>Traffic routeing</b> - The NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) and ES (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228) adequately characterises the baseline environment in terms of shipping and navigation including normal and adverse weather routeing.	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) and ES (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228) adequately characterises the baseline environment in Chapter 15: Shipping and Navigation of the ES which includes the NRA.
<b>Assessment methodology</b>	<b>Compliance with guidance</b> - Appropriate legislation, planning policy and guidance relevant to shipping and navigation has been used.	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the appropriate legislation, planning policy and guidance has been used in the NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) and Chapter 15: Shipping and Navigation of the ES (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228).

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
	<b>Compliance with guidance</b> - The Formal Safety Assessment (FSA) based approach to the assessment of effects is deemed appropriate for the purposes of predicting changes to the receiving environment.	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the approach adopted in Chapter 15: Shipping and Navigation of the ES (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228) is appropriate to assess navigational safety impacts from the proposed project on shipping and navigation receptors.
	<b>Identification of impacts</b> - The potential impacts identified within the chapter represent a comprehensive list of potential effects on shipping and navigation from the project.	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the Applicant has comprehensively identified navigational safety impacts on shipping and navigation receptors from the project.
	<b>Worst case scenario</b> - The worst case scenarios identified for each effect are appropriate based on the information presented in the Project Description (Chapter 5: Project Description, APP-218).	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the design parameters of the project presented in Chapter 15: Shipping and Navigation of the ES (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228) would result in a worst case scenario for shipping and navigation impacts.  It is noted that floating foundations have been removed from the Application.
<b>Compliance with MGN 543</b>	The NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) has been undertaken in line with required guidance including MGN 543.	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) has been undertaken in accordance with MCA guidance (MGN 543 and its supporting annexes, and risk assessment methodology),

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
			and all aspects of the NRA have been adequately addressed, including the traffic surveys.
	<b>ERCOP</b> - It is agreed that an ERCoP will be undertaken post consent in line with MGN 543.	The DCO / DML condition will reflect MGN 543 Annex V, which includes the SAR checklist, of which an ERCoP will be required. Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that an ERCoP will need to be in place and agreed with the MCA prior to any offshore construction (and prior to/during the operation and maintenance phase).
	<b>SAR Checklist</b> - A SAR Checklist will be completed as per MGN 543.	The DCO / DML condition will reflect MGN 543 Annex V, which includes the SAR checklist completed to the satisfaction of MCA.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that a SAR checklist will be commenced as soon as practicable and discussed/agreed throughout the post consent process, as per MGN 543 Annex V.
<b>Impact assessment</b>	The definitions used for magnitude and sensitivity are appropriate.	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that the definitions used for magnitude and sensitivity are appropriate for shipping and navigation as shown in Chapter 15: Shipping and Navigation of the ES (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228).
	The assessment of potential changes to shipping and navigation is appropriate and no impacts from the construction, operation and maintenance and/or decommissioning of the project will be significant in Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) terms when considering the embedded mitigations	Agreed	<b>Agreed</b>  It is agreed that, in accordance with the outcome of the assessment presented in Chapter 15: Shipping and Navigation of the ES (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228) that the adopted measures for minimising impacts on shipping and navigation receptors are sufficient to bring risks to tolerable levels.

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
<b>Safety zones</b>	<p>The Applicant will submit an application (post consent) for the use of safety zones. The following safety zones are being considered at this stage in order to manage navigational safety risks identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 500m during construction and decommissioning rolling with activity.</li> <li>• Up to 500m, major maintenance (as defined in Part 1, Regulation 2 of the 2007 Regulations) during the operation phase.</li> <li>• 50m pre commissioning around all structures.</li> </ul>	<p>Agreed. These will be considered by MCA on a case by case basis.</p> <p>The MCA supports the use of safety zones during construction, major maintenance and decommissioning, triggered by large construction vessels. However, safety zones triggered by the use of Service Operation Vessels during major maintenance are currently <b>not</b> supported by the MCA. A case would need to be included and considered as part of the safety zone application</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p> <p>The post consent use of construction, major maintenance and decommissioning safety zones are noted and supported <i>by the MCA</i>.</p> <p>It is noted that safety zones triggered by the use of service operation vessels during major maintenance are currently not supported by the MCA and a case would need to be included and considered as part of the safety zone application phase post consent, should the Applicant consider using this type of vessel.</p>
<b>Layout design and use of Development Principles</b>	<p>The Applicant has consulted with the MCA (and TH) on Development Principles that will ensure that the post consent layout approval process is undertaken effectively. The intention of the Development Principles is to ensure effective layout approval by the MMO in consultation with the MCA (and TH) and then should a layout with a single line of orientation be taken forwards; a safety justification will need to be submitted to demonstrate that is acceptable.</p> <p>It is noted that the NRA includes wording within the Development Principles section that was subsequently amended after it was</p>	<p>Agreed – noting this relates to the process for achieving layout acceptance. We note that the NRA assesses just one line of orientation.</p> <p>Although the Development Principles (as shown in DCO document reference 8.23 of the Application) are agreed by MCA, the MCA will still need to be consulted on the layout. The MCA will expect safety justification as per MGN 543 to detail why fewer than two lines of orientation</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p> <p>It is agreed that the final turbine layout design will be agreed in writing with the MMO in consultation with MCA and TH prior to construction (post consent) to minimise the risks to surface vessels including rescue boats, and SAR aircraft operating within the site. This final layout will be submitted as per Deemed Marine Licence (DML) Condition 14(1)(a) - Design Plan in accordance with the parameters defined within the Development Principles.</p> <p>The Development Principles were agreed with the MCA in May 2019.</p>

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
	submitted. Therefore the text within the <i>Norfolk Boreas Offshore Wind Farm Development Principles: Layout Design Rules</i> (DCO document reference 8.23) reflects the correct and agreed principles (as agreed May 2019).	should be acceptable from both the safety of navigation and SAR perspectives.	It is agreed that the NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) considers the 'worst case scenario' with a minimum of one line of orientation possible; however the MCA's requirement is for at least two lines of orientation for the purposes of safe navigation for surface vessels, and SAR capabilities unless a developer can clearly demonstrate that fewer is acceptable as per MGN 543 by submitting a safety justification.
<b>Cumulative impact assessment (CIA)</b>	The <b>cumulative (and in-combination)</b> assessment of potential changes to shipping and navigation is appropriate and no cumulative impacts will be significant in EIA terms.	Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  Based on the information provided within the NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) and Chapter 15: Shipping and Navigation of the ES (document reference 6.1.15 of the Application, APP-228) it is agreed that cumulative impacts, including main route deviations caused by the project, are unlikely to be significant on the understanding that appropriate mitigation measures (as noted in the Chapter 15: Shipping and Navigation) are implemented.
<b>Project Interconnector (Norfolk Boreas to Norfolk Vanguard) search area</b>	The interconnector search area was increased in size during the application phase and assessed accordingly.	No further comments. Agreed.	<b>Agreed</b>  No concerns were raised with the increased size of project interconnector search area of the HVDC options noting that an appropriate worst case has already been assessed.

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
<b>Mitigations</b>			
<b>Lighting and marking</b>	Lighting and marking arrangements will be developed post consent and is considered an embedded mitigation within the NRA (document reference 6.3.15.1 of the application, App-569) and will be undertaken in compliance with the relevant DCO/DML conditions.	Agreed that the lighting and marking will be considered post consent in line with the requirements of MGN 543.	<p><b>Agreed</b> that the conditions and process are in place to further discuss lighting and marking post consent.</p> <p>It is agreed that all lighting and marking arrangements will need to be agreed with the MCA and TH in accordance with DML Conditions 10 and 11. Condition 15 also includes a requirement for the Applicant to 'adequately address MCA recommendations contained within MGN 543'.</p> <p>The requirements laid out by these conditions will be displayed and agreed within a Lighting and Marking Plan (LMP); however that plan will not be a separate condition to avoid duplication of requirements.</p> <p>An Aids to Navigation Management Plan will be submitted as per condition 14(1)(k) (Schedule 9-10) and condition 9(1)(k) (Schedule 11-12).</p> <p>An Aids to Navigation Management Plan is not required for the Project Interconnector assets under Schedule 13 given that there is no above ground infrastructure associated with this DML.</p>
<b>Construction methodology</b>	It is agreed that a Design Plan will form part of the post consent documentation. The potential for linear development will be discussed at that point. However it is noted that many factors influence construction and	The construction methodology should be undertaken in a manner least likely to impact safe navigation, utilising appropriate	<p><b>Agreed</b></p> <p>DML Condition 14(1)(a) (Schedule 9-10), Condition 9(1)(a) (Schedule 11-12), and Condition 7(1)(a) (Schedule 13) requires a Design Plan to be submitted and approved by</p>



Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
	linear development may not be an option that is possible however mitigations such as construction buoyage, notifications and lighting will be in place to ensure Mariners are aware of the current areas of construction and are able to passage plan effectively.	mitigation to ensure safe passage planning.	the MMO, in consultation with the MCA and TH, prior to licensed activities commencing.
<b>Draft DCO and DML</b>			
<b>Arbitration and Deemed Approval</b>	The Applicant intends to be guided by the outcomes of the Norfolk Vanguard determination.	The MCA fully supports the MMO's position with regards to Arbitration and deemed approval/refusal.	<b>Not yet agreed</b> - awaiting Norfolk Vanguard Determination.
<b>Pre-construction plans and documents and Post Consent Construction Plans</b>  <b>Schedule 9 Part 4 18, Schedule 10 Part 4 18 (Schedule 11 Part 4 13 Schedule 12) and Schedule 13</b>	<p><b>Hydrographic Surveys</b> - The Applicant has already undertaken pre construction surveys and will provide that data collected to the MCA. Going forwards and in order to ensure consistency with the Norfolk Vanguard DCO the Applicant would like to maintain the previous wording contained within the DCO and DMLs as follows:</p> <p><b>Pre-construction plans and documents</b> <i>A full sea floor coverage swath-bathymetry survey that meets the requirements of IHO S44ed5 Order 1a, and side scan sonar, of the area(s) within the Order limits in which it is proposed to carry out construction works.</i></p> <p><b>Post-construction plans and documents</b> <i>The undertaker must conduct a swath bathymetric survey to IHO Order 1a of the</i></p>	Ongoing discussion between both parties to find a resolution.	<b>Not yet agreed</b>

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
	<i>installed export cable route and provide the data and survey report(s) to the MCA and UKHO. The MMO should be notified once this has been done, with a copy of the Report of Survey also sent to the MMO.</i>		
<b>Schedule 9 Part 4 9 (8) ,Schedule 10 Part 4 9 (8) ,Schedule 11 Part 4 4 (8), Schedule 12 Part 4 4 (8) Schedule 13 Part 4 3 (8)</b>	<p>Applicant maintains that 14 days is replaced with 10 days to ensure consistency with the Norfolk Vanguard Project, as follows:</p> <p><i>The undertaker must ensure that a local notice to mariners is issued at least 10 Days prior to the commencement of the authorised project or any part thereof advising of the start date of each Work No.&lt;insert&gt; and the expected vessel routes from the construction ports to the relevant location.</i></p>	Ongoing discussion	<b>Timeframe not agreed</b> – awaiting Norfolk Vanguard Determination.
<b>Schedule 9 Part 4 9 (10) ,Schedule 10 Part 4 9 (10) ,Schedule 11 Part 4 4 (10), Schedule 12 Part 4 4 (10) Schedule 13 Part 4 3 (10)</b>	<p>Applicant maintains that the following is kept to ensure consistency with the Norfolk Vanguard Project.</p> <p><i>The undertaker must notify the UK Hydrographic Office both of the commencement (within ten days), progress and completion of construction (within ten days) of the licensed activities in order that all necessary amendments to nautical charts are made and the undertaker must send a copy of such notifications to the MMO within five days.</i></p>	Ongoing discussion.	<b>Timeframe not agreed</b> – awaiting Norfolk Vanguard Determination.

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
<p><b>Schedule 9 Part 4 9 (12) ,Schedule 10 Part 4 9 (12) ,Schedule 11 Part 4 4 (12), Schedule 12 Part 4 4 (12) Schedule 13 Part 4 3 (12)</b></p>	<p>Although noted as a matter not agreed on the Norfolk Vanguard Project the following text is requested to ensure consistency (should it be approved).</p> <p><i>In case of exposure of cables on or above the seabed, the undertaker must within five days following the receipt by the undertaker of the final survey report from the periodic burial survey, notify mariners by issuing a notice to mariners and by informing Kingfisher Information Service of the location and extent of exposure. Copies of all notices must be provided to the MMO and MCA within five days.</i></p>	<p>Ongoing discussion; MCA requirement is for the following wording 'In case of exposure of cables on or above the seabed, the undertaker must within three days following identification of a cable exposure, notify mariners by issuing a notice to mariners and by informing Kingfisher Information Service of the location and extent of exposure'.</p>	<p><b>Timeframe not agreed</b> – awaiting Norfolk Vanguard Determination.</p>
<p><b>Schedule 9 Part 4 14 (1)(g) Schedule 10 Part 4 14 (1)(g) , Schedule 11 Part 4 9(1)(g) , Schedule 12 Part 4 9(1)(g) , Schedule 13 Part 4 1(1)(g) ,</b></p>	<p>Applicant requests the following to ensure consistency with the Norfolk Vanguard Project.</p> <p>– <i>A cable specification, installation and monitoring plan, to include—</i> <i>(i) technical specification of offshore cables (including fibre optic cables) below MHWS, including a desk-based assessment of attenuation of electro-magnetic field strengths, shielding and cable burial depth in accordance with industry good practice;</i> <i>(ii) a detailed cable (including fibre optic cables) laying plan for the Order limits, incorporating a burial risk assessment to ascertain suitable burial depths and cable laying techniques, including cable protection;</i> <i>and(iii) proposals for monitoring offshore cables (including fibre optic cables) including</i></p>	<p>Ongoing discussion; MCA requirement is for the following to be added - a detailed cable laying plan of the Order limits, incorporating a burial risk assessment encompassing the identification of any cable protection that exceeds 5% of navigable depth referenced to chart datum and, in the event that any area of cable protection exceeding 5% of navigable depth is identified, details of any steps (to be determined following consultation with the MCA and Trinity House) to be taken to ensure existing and future safe</p>	<p><b>Timeframe not agreed</b> – awaiting Norfolk Vanguard Determination.</p>

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
	<p><i>cable protection during the operational lifetime of the authorised scheme which includes a risk based approach to the management of unburied or shallow buried cables.</i></p> <p>Schedule 11 and 12 additionally state:</p> <p><i>(iv) appropriate methods such as a trawl or drift net to be deployed along Work No. 4A and 4B (export cables and fibre optic cables), following the survey referred to in condition 15(2)(b) to assess any seabed obstructions resulting from burial of the export cables and fibre optic cables.</i></p> <p>The Applicant agrees with the requirements requested by MCA. However, the Applicant intends to await the determination of Norfolk Vanguard and believes that these requirements are already addressed by compliance with MGN 543 (schedule 9-10, 15(8) and Schedule 11-12, 10(8)) – which include a requirement to consult with MCA and TH, should under keel clearance depths should the reduction exceed 5%.</p>	<p>navigation is not compromised or such similar assessment to ascertain suitable burial depths and cable laying techniques, including cable protection.</p> <p>This requirement is included in the agreed navigation conditions with TH and the MMO. We are cautious of relying on the MGN 543 pre-construction condition for this purpose, as it may be missed by the applicant.</p>	
<p><b>Schedule 9 Part 4 19 (1) (4), Schedule 10 Part 4 20 (1) (d),</b></p>	<p>Given that Norfolk Boreas has submitted a 'outline marine traffic monitoring strategy' we request the condition reads –</p> <p><i>Construction monitoring must include traffic monitoring in accordance with the outline marine traffic monitoring strategy, including the provision of reports on the results of that</i></p>	<p>Agreed based on the wording of the outline marine traffic monitoring strategy.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>

Topic	Norfolk Boreas Limited's position	MCA's Comment	Final position
	<p><i>monitoring periodically as requested by the MMO in consultation with the MCA and Trinity House.'</i></p> <p>Which includes the requirements requested by the MCA and TH.</p>		
<p><b>Schedule 9 Part 4 15 (8), Schedule 10 Part 4 15 (8), Schedule 11 Part 4 10 (8), Schedule 12 Part 4 10 (8)</b></p>	<p>With reference to the need for an ERCoP the following text has been agreed and will be inserted at the next DCO draft (as per the Norfolk Vanguard DCO) –</p> <p><i>No part of the authorised scheme may commence until the MMO, in consultation with the MCA, has confirmed in writing that the undertaker has taken into account and, so far as is applicable to that stage of the authorised scheme adequately addressed all MCA recommendations as appropriate to the authorised scheme contained within MGN543 "Offshore Renewable Energy Installations (OREIs) – Guidance on UK Navigational Practice, Safety and Emergency Response Issues" and its annexes.</i></p>	<p>Agreed. This is in line with our condition.</p>	<p><b>Agreed.</b></p>

**The names inserted below are to confirm that these are the current positions of the two parties contributing to this SOCG**

Printed Name	Helen Croxson
Position	OREI Advisor
On behalf of	Maritime and Coastguard Agency
Date	09.12.2019

Printed Name	Jake Laws
Position	Norfolk Boreas Consents Manager
On behalf of	Norfolk Boreas Limited (the Applicant)
Date	09.12.2019